



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/802,961	03/12/2001	Toyokazu Sugai	1163-0329P	2653

2292 7590 08/10/2006

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER

TRAN, HAI V

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2623

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/802,961	SUGAI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hai Tran	2623	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 May 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to amended claims 1-4 and 16-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claim 1-11, and 16-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted Prior Art (Applicant Specification pages 1-2) in view of Ogawa et al. (US 6314571).

Claim 1, Admitted Prior Art clearly anticipates an electronic program guide providing device (Applicant Specification pages 1-2) comprising:

an editing means for editing electronic program information;

an electronic program information storage means for storing the electronic program information edited by said editing means;

a table generation means for generating a plurality of electronic program guide information tables by using the electronic program information stored in said electronic program information storage means, said plurality of electronic program guide information tables including a 1st table events, and at least a 2nd table events

occurring at different times or including different content from the events in the 1st table;

wherein when updating the electronic program information stored in said electronic program information storage means, said editing means generates update information on the updating of the electronic program information; and

Said table generation means regenerates all of the EPG information table at predetermined time intervals regardless of whether or not the electronic program information associated with a table updated; wherein the 1st table of events is separately regenerated at a time or in content different from the second table of events.

Self-Admitted prior art does not clearly disclose the table generation means determines which of said plurality of electronics program guide information tables needs to be generated based on said update information generated by said editing means and generates only those electronic program guide information tables that are determined to need updating by using the electronic program information stored in said electronic program information storage means;

Ogawa discloses the table generation determines which of said plurality of electronics program guide information tables needs to be generated based on the update information generated by the editing means and generates only those electronic program guide information tables that are determined to need updating by using the electronic program information stored in the electronic program information storage means (Col. 18, lines 57-30). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one

Art Unit: 2623

of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Self-admitted prior art with the teaching of Ogawa so to reduce bandwidth usage between the central delivery center of master EPG and each of the remote broadcasting distribution stations, as suggested by Ogawa (Col. 19, lines 34-40).

Claim 2, Self-Admitted art clearly meets the claimed limitation “an update information storage means for storing the update information generated by said editing means, and wherein, when updating the electronic program information stored in said electronic program information storage means, said editing means stores the update information on the updating of the electronic program information in said update information storage means”.

Claims 3 and 4, “wherein each of the plurality of electronic program information tables corresponds to the type of electronic program information included therein” is further met by Self-Admitted prior art.

Claims 5 and 6, “wherein the table generation means updates only one or more electronic program information tables that needs to be updated at predetermined interval” is further met by Self-Admitted prior art as discussed in claim 1.

Claims 7 and 8, "wherein said table generation means sets the length of predetermined intervals at which only one or more electronic information tables that needs to be updated are updated according to a sending frequency of an electronic program information table with the largest sending frequency" is further met by Self-admitted prior art because according to the updating process, the largest sending frequency of updating would also update the EPG information tables that have the smallest sending frequency.

Claims 9 and 10, "wherein the table generation means sets the length of predetermined intervals at which each of one or more electronic program information tables that need to be updated is updated according to a sending frequency of each of the one or more electronic program information tables" is further met by Admitted Prior Art.

Claim 11, Self-admitted prior art in view of Ogawa (Col. 19, lines 25-30) further discloses wherein the device includes a plurality of editing means, and each of the plurality of editing means inspects update information generated by any other means stored in the updated information storage means.

Claims 16-17, Self-Admitted prior art (see applicant specification pages 1-2) in view of Ogawa (Col. 19, lines 30-40) further disclose "wherein the device includes a plurality of table generation means for generating the plurality of electronic

Art Unit: 2623

program guide information tables while sharing a load of generating the EPG information table.

Claims 18-19, Self-Admitted prior art (see applicant specification pages 1-2) in view of Ogawa (Col. 19, lines 25-30) further disclose wherein the table generation means provides the plurality of electronic program guide information tables at predetermined time intervals, and updating the EPG information table, provides the updated EPG table at the same time that it updates the EPG information table.

Claim 20, method claim is analyzed with respect to claim 1.

Claim 21, method claim is analyzed with respect to claim 5.

Claim 22, method claim is analyzed with respect to claim 9.

Claim 23, method claim is analyzed with respect to claim 10.

2. Claims 12-15 and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted Prior Art (Applicant Specification pages 1-2) in view of Ogawa et al. (US 6314571), and further in view of Thomas et al. (US 5666654).

Claim 12, Admitted Prior Art in view of Ogawa does not clearly disclose wherein said update information storage means stores histories of the update information generated by said editing means, and said editing means restores the electronic program information stored in said electronic program information storage means to the state it was prior to any updating done by said editing means with

Art Unit: 2623

reference to the histories of the update information stored in said update information storage means If necessary.

Thomas discloses wherein said update information storage means stores histories of the update information generated by said editing means, and said editing means restores the electronic program information stored in said electronic program information storage means to the state it was prior to any updating done by said editing means with reference to the histories of the update information stored in said update information storage means If necessary (Fig. 8; Col. 8, lines 9-46 and Col. 12, lines 28-61). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Admitted Prior Art in view of Ogawa with the teaching of Thomas so the system able to roll-back to the previous state in case there is some interruption during the process of updating, i.e., data corrupted due to power outage, for safe recovery and the updating process is able to restart over from the previous state without losing all the data.

Claims 13, 14 and 15 are analyzed with respect to claim 12.

Claims 24-26, method claims are analyzed with respect to claim 12.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7305. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher S. Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HT:ht
08/04/2006



HAI TRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER